UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,488	03/27/2007	Takumi Arie	S1459.70115US00	2387
	7590 07/17/200 IFIELD & SACKS, P.(EXAMINER		
600 ATLANTIC	C AVENUE	-	LEIBY, CHRISTOPHER E	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,488	ARIE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER E. LEIBY	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/10 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/580,488 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

Detailed Action

1. Claims 1-6 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/10/2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities. The claim reads "...said vibration detecting means sustains over a duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration." This should read "...said vibration detecting means sustains over the duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration." Appropriate correction is required for correct antecedent basis of duration previously disclosed in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,488 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoyanagi (JP Patent 406083296).

Regarding independent claims 1 and 6, Aoyanagi discloses An information providing apparatus and method comprising: image display means mounted on a mobile object (reference [industrial application] wherein a graphical display device is mounted in a vehicle), presenting an image display of information which assists travel of the mobile object (reference paragraph [0002] wherein graphical device projects navigation image to facilitate the drive); vibration detecting means detecting vibration of not smaller than a predetermined level produced on said image display means and sending a detection output signal (paragraph [0017] wherein the video signal displacement is subtracted from the vibration detection means so vibrations not smaller than the video signal displacement is detected); and operation control means modifying a display mode of said information presented in the image display by said image display means (paragraphs [0005] and [0008]), when vibration of not smaller than said predetermined level produced on said image display means sustains over a duration of time not shorter than a predetermined duration, and

Art Unit: 2629

when output of said detection output signal from said vibration detecting means sustains over the duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration (paragraph [0008] wherein the detection means are over a duration of a first frame not smaller than a first frame and every frame thereafter wherein a second duration would be a second frame and third duration would be a third frame).

Regarding **claim 5**, Aoyanagi discloses an information providing apparatus, wherein: said mobile object is a vehicle (*paragraph [0001] reference vehicle*), and said image display means is configured so as to present image display of a road map image having a current position of said vehicle and an image expressing a travel route superposed therein, as said information (*paragraph [0002] reference navigation image*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyanagi as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Chene et al. (EP Patent Application 1207072), herein after referred to as Chene.

Regarding **claim 2**, Aoyanagi discloses an information providing apparatus, wherein said operation control means takes part in a control of

Art Unit: 2629

negating vibrations of a display screen on which said information is presented asan in the image display in said image display means, when output of the detection output signal from said vibration detecting means sustains over the duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration (*paragraphs* [0005] and [0008] refer to rejection of claims 1 and 6).

Aoyanagi does not specifically disclose to increase luminance over the third duration of vibration.

Chene does disclose increasing luminance to further facilitate viewing of a display for a driver in a vibration environment (abstract and paragraph [0009]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Aoyanagi's device with Chene increasing luminance over the period since this would indicate a prolonged exposure of vibration to the device and further means to increase the view ability of the screen would be warranted.

Regarding **claim 3**, Aoyanagi discloses an information providing apparatus, wherein said operation control means takes part in a control of negating vibrations of a display screen on which said information is presented as an in the image display in said image display means, when output of the detection output signal from said vibration detecting means sustains over the duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration (*paragraphs* [0005] and [0008] refer to rejection of claims 1 and 6).

Aoyanagi does not specifically disclose to enlarging images corresponded to mark information and character information contained in said information over the third duration of vibration.

Chene does disclose enlarging images corresponded to mark information and character information contained in said information to further facilitate viewing of a display for a driver in a vibration environment (abstract and paragraph [00010]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Aoyanagi's device with Chene enlarging images over the third period since this would indicate a prolonged exposure of vibration to the device and further means to increase the view ability of the screen would be warranted.

Regarding **claim 4**, Aoyanagi discloses an information providing apparatus, wherein said operation control means takes part in a control of negating vibrations of a display screen on which said information is presented asan in the image display in said image display means, when output of the detection output signal from said vibration detecting means sustains over the duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration (*paragraphs* [0005] and [0008] refer to rejection of claims 1 and 6).

Aoyanagi does not specifically disclose to increasing difference in contrast between an image of high importance and an image of low importance contained in said information over the third duration of vibration.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,488

Art Unit: 2629

Chene does disclose increasing difference in contrast between an image of high importance and an image of low importance contained in said information to further facilitate viewing of a display for a driver in a vibration environment (abstract reference contrast may be adjusted to provide maximum readability which is a difference in contrast between that of what needs to be read high importance over that which either cannot be read or does not need to be read low importance).

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Aoyanagi's device with Chene enlarging images over the period since this would indicate a prolonged exposure of vibration to the device and further means to increase the view ability of the screen would be warranted.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/10/2009 have been considered and are found unpersuasive. Applicant stated "without acceding to the propriety of the rejection, each of the independent claims 1 and 6 is amended herein to even more clearly distinguish over Aoyanagi." Applicant argues that Aoyanagi does not disclose the claimed subject matter of detecting a vibration not smaller than a predetermined level not shorter than a predetermined level and when that detection sustains over a duration of time not shorter than the predetermined duration to modify a display mode on a display.

Firstly, the generic determination of predetermined levels is so broad than any determination what so ever is considered a predetermined level. For

Application/Control Number: 10/580,488

Art Unit: 2629

instance, lets say that 0 is our predetermined level so any vibrations detected what so ever is not smaller than 0. Secondly, again a predetermined duration could be any duration such as 0. So again, for instance, if my predetermined duration is 0 a signal which occurs at any time is not smaller than 0. Therefor, any vibration detected and outputs a detection signal may reject this claim disclosure.

Page 8

Aoyanagi discloses in paragraph [0017] wherein the video signal displacement is subtracted from the vibration detection means so vibrations not smaller than the video signal displacement is detected, therefor a video signal is used as a predetermined level in which vibrations smaller than this level are not detected. Paragraph [0008] discloses a sensor which detects, and therefor outputs, a signal indicating the value of vibration and modifies a display in regards to this detected signal for every frame. So a predetermined duration of a frame is used wherein the detected signal is detected for a period not smaller than a frame allows the controller to make a predetermined calculation promptly, wherein the amount of displacement is compared with the time of the last frame and compared to a controller. The rejection remains as previously indicated since the subject matter remains substantially the same except for the subject matter of the claim objection as disclosed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,488 Page 9

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL

July 15th, 2009

/Henry N Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629